

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK — NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: EMILY JANE GOODMAN

PART 17

Justice

JOSEPH RAKOFSKY,

MOTION INDEX NO. 105573-2011

MOTION DATE _____

- v -

MOTION SEQ. NO. 03

WASHINGTON POST COMPANY, et al

MOTION CAL. NO. _____

The following papers, numbered 1 to _____ were read on this motion to/for _____

Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits ...

Answering Affidavits — Exhibits _____

Replying Affidavits: Not accepted as it was not filed.

PAPERS NUMBERED

Cross-Motion: Yes NO Upon the foregoing papers, the motion for an

order permitting Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., to withdraw as attorney of record for Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs do not object (and to which movant represents Plaintiffs consent), but to which some of the Defendants object, is granted in accordance with the following. Although Defendants objection to movant's withdrawal is limited to their concern that new counsel should be substituted because a corporate defendant must appear by counsel, that is Plaintiffs concern, not theirs. It is hereby

ORDERED that the motion to withdraw is granted to the extent that Richard D. Borzouye, of the law firm of Borzouye Law Firm, P.C., is relieved as counsel for Plaintiffs, upon service of a copy of this Decision and Order, upon Plaintiffs by August 3, 2011, and service upon all appearing parties, with proof of service faxed to the court at 212-401-9045, and it is further

ORDERED that this action is stayed until September 14, 2011 for Plaintiffs to locate new counsel. Argument will be heard on the motion to admit pro hac vice on September 15, 2011 at 3PM.

This constitutes the Decision and Order of the Court.

Dated: July 22, 2011

J.S.C.

Check one: FINAL DISPOSITION NON-FINAL DISPOSITION

Check if appropriate: DO NOT POST REFERENCE

MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):